

Canadian Consumers' Perception of and Willingness to Consume Gene-Edited Beef and Milk

Authors: N. Donmez, M. von Massow

Department of Food, Agricultural and Resource Economics



UNIVERSITY OF GUELPH

Introduction

Food production has adopted new technologies, including **gene editing**, in the early twenty-first century. Unlike the insertion of genes from other species, gene editing modifies specific genes within an organism; however, most consumers remain **unfamiliar with these technologies**. Many consumers are also **unaware that gene-edited foods can improve animal welfare and nutritional value**. In addition, **food technology neophobia and demographic characteristics** significantly influence **consumers' perceptions of, and willingness to consume, gene-edited products**. As a result, researchers have extensively studied consumer attitudes toward these foods.

Purpose & Research Question

- Do information treatments work for the people with new food technology neophobia?
- How demographic characteristics affect consumers' willingness to consume gene-edited milk/beef ?

Methodology

- Data were collected in 2024 through a nationally representative Canadian survey of 2,210 individuals that included detailed demographic information.
- Conditional Logit Model was used to estimate the probability of choosing products.

Model Structure

Both models include:

- **Choice:** conventional vs. gene-edited milk/beef
- **Attributes:** price, gene editing (GE)
- **GE interactions:** female, household size
- **Consumer trait:** food technology neophobia
- **Framing: animal-welfare and nutrition content (randomly assigned)**

Difference between models:

- **Model 1 — Average Framing Effects**
Audio
Video
Written
- **Model 2 — Neophobia-Moderated Framing Effects**
Neophobia × Audio
Neophobia × Video
Neophobia × Written

Conditional Logit Model Results

Coefficient estimates from the conditional logit models are presented for both models.

Gene-edited effects

Model 1

- Beef: -0.933***
- Milk: -0.735***

Model 2

- Beef: -0.347***
- Milk: -0.386***

High Food Technology Neophobia

Model 1

- Beef: -1.178***
- Milk: -1.205***

Model 2

- Beef: -1.642***
- Milk: -1.406***

Treatment: Audio

Model 1

- Beef: 0.798***
- Milk: 0.456***

Model 2

- Beef: 0.599***
- Milk: 0.183

Treatment: Video

Model 1

- Beef: 0.645***
- Milk: 0.474***

Model 2

- Beef: 0.406
- Milk: 0.226

Treatment: Written

Model 1

- Beef: 0.498***
- Milk: 0.210***

Model 2

- Beef: 0.589***
- Milk: 0.286

Willingness to Consume

Gender

Women are less willing to accept gene-edited beef and milk.

Food Neophobia

Neophobic consumers are much less willing to buy GE products.

Animal-Welfare Framing

Welfare information helps for milk but not for beef.

Income

Higher-income consumers are more accepting.

Education

Acceptance increases with education.

Conclusion

Consumers discount gene-edited foods and are highly price sensitive. Food neophobia and demographics strongly shape acceptance.

Policy implications:

- Provide **clear public information on gene-edited beef and milk** to reduce consumer neophobia.
- Regulators should support **transparent, consumer-friendly communication** about gene-edited foods.
- Health Canada and CFIA should allow and encourage **benefit-based claims** when scientifically justified.
- **Public communication** and regulatory outreach should be **targeted**, not uniform.

References

- Lancaster, K. J. (1966). A New Approach to Consumer Theory. In *Source: Journal of Political Economy* (Vol. 74, Issue 2).
- Louviere, J. J. , Hensher, D. A. , & Swait, J. Dan. (2010). *Stated choice methods : analysis and applications*. Cambridge University Press.
- McFadden, D. L. (1973). Conditional Logit Analysis of Qualitative Choice Behavior. In *Frontiers in Econometrics*.